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There is an urgent need to improve the infrastructure supporting the reuse of scholarly data. A diverse set of stakeholders—representing academia, industry, funding agencies, and scholarly publishers—have come together to design and jointly endorse a concise and measureable set of principles that we refer to as the FAIR Data Principles. The intent is that these may act as a guideline for those wishing to enhance the reusability of their data holdings. Distinct from peer initiatives that focus on the human scholar, the FAIR Principles put specific emphasis on enhancing the ability of machines to automatically find and use the data, in addition to supporting its reuse by individuals. This Comment is the first formal publication of the FAIR Principles, and includes the rationale behind them, and some exemplar implementations in the community.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18
Go FAIR Community

Findable
The first step in (re)using data is to find them. Metadata and data should be easy to find for both humans and computers. Machine-readable metadata are essential for automatic discovery of datasets and services, so this is an essential component of the FAIRification process.

F1. (Meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier

F2. Data are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below)

F3. Metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data they describe

F4. (Meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/
Go FAIR Community

Accessible
Once the user finds the required data, she/he needs to know how can they be accessed, possibly including authentication and authorisation.

A1. (Meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardised communications protocol

A1.1 The protocol is open, free, and universally implementable

A1.2 The protocol allows for an authentication and authorisation procedure, where necessary

A2. Metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/
Go FAIR Community

Interoperable
The data usually need to be integrated with other data. In addition, the data need to interoperate with applications or workflows for analysis, storage, and processing.

I1. (Meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.

I2. (Meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles

I3. (Meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/
Go FAIR Community

Reusable

The ultimate goal of FAIR is to optimise the reuse of data. To achieve this, metadata and data should be well-described so that they can be replicated and/or combined in different settings.

R1. Meta(data) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes

R1.1. (Meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license

R1.2. (Meta)data are associated with detailed provenance

R1.3. (Meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/
FAIR Community -- "Not One Size Fits All"

What is an Implementation Network?
A GO FAIR Implementation Network (IN) is a consortium committed to defining and creating specific materials and tools as elements of the Internet of FAIR Data and Services (IFDS).

INs are the core drivers of GO FAIR as open, inclusive, community-led and self-governed consortia working across disciplines and countries. Individuals, institutions and organisations from all over the world engage within different GO FAIR Implementation Networks.

The INs commit to implementing elements of the Internet of FAIR Data and Services within the three pillars: GO Build (Technology), GO Change (Culture) and GO Train (Training).

https://www.go-fair.org/implementations-networks/
Active GO FAIR Implementation Network

The Chemistry community needs to create a FAIR culture which is supported by standards and infrastructure development, promoting machine readability of chemical data and other digital resources. Hence, the Chemistry Implementation Network (ChiN) commits to the following guiding principles:

- Effecting a change in culture around FAIR data stewardship and data sharing practice
- Findable chemistry data
- Reusable code and data – validation, compilation/aggregation, incorporation into future work, data mining
- The use of standards at source and throughout the information lifecycle
- Availability and accessibility of tools and infrastructure
- The use of persistent identifiers and machine readability at the core
- Management and oversight of standards
- Use of general data standards outside of chemistry where appropriate and FAIR in their implementation
- Enable and promote use of chemical data standards in other disciplines that work with chemical data

The ChiN operates in tandem with the Chemistry Research Data Interest Group (CRDIG) of the Research Data Alliance.
“The primary limitation of humans, however, is that we are unable to operate at the scope, scale, and speed necessitated by the scale of contemporary scientific data and complexity of e-Science. It is for this reason that humans increasingly rely on computational agents to undertake discovery and integration tasks on their behalf.”
What FAIR is Not

FAIR is not a standard, although the acronym is frequently used in that context. The GO FAIR view is that standards are needed for the Internet of FAIR Data and Services and that ideally, standards, API’s and protocols are developed ‘following FAIR guiding principles’.

FAIR is not equivalent to open (and open is not equivalent to ‘free’): There are many reasons why data may be non-open and only available under certain conditions to certain users, including machines. As long as the accessibility conditions are properly described, non-open data can be entirely FAIR. Reciprocally, fully open and unrestricted data may score very low in FAIR metrics as they may for instance be non-actionable for machines.

FAIR principles do not, in themselves, cover the crucial aspects of intrinsic data quality or ethics. However, FAIR guiding principles request that optimal care is taken to enable users to determine the ‘usefulness’ (for their purpose) of the data and other research objects they find, which includes rich, machine readable provenance. Obviously, user defined metadata and comments on existing research objects will be increasingly useful to judge the reusability of the research objects.
FAIRification Process

The FAIR Data Principles apply to metadata, data, and supporting infrastructure (e.g., search engines). Most of the requirements for findability and accessibility can be achieved at the metadata level. Interoperability and reuse require more efforts at the data level. The scheme below depicts the FAIRification process adopted by GO FAIR, focusing on data, but also indicating the required work for metadata:

https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/fairification-process/
So now, what’s the problem?

- Standard metadata isn’t standard!
- There are many repositories providing “standard” metadata in incompatible ways (81 identified with polar data)
- There are many aggregators harvesting information which often has already been aggregated by some other group!
- There are many technologies in use

Durr, R. Structured data on the web: putting best practice to work, ESIP Summer Meeting 2020 2020 ESIP Summer Meeting: Structured data on the web: putting best...
Problem 1: Driving force towards Centralization
Linked Data As a Solution?

1. Use URIs as names for things
2. Use HTTP URIs so that people can look up those names.
3. When someone looks up a URI, provide useful information, using the standards (RDF*, SPARQL)
4. Include links to other URIs, so that they can discover more things.

https://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html
Designing a Linked Data developer experience

Making decentralized Web app development fun.

While the Semantic Web community was fighting its own internal battles, we failed to gain traction with the people who build apps that are actually used: front-end developers. Ironically, Semantic Web enthusiasts have failed to focus on the Web; whereas our technologies are delivering results in specialized back-end systems, the promised intelligent end-user apps are not being created.

Within the Solid ecosystem for decentralized Web applications, Linked Data and Semantic Web technologies play a crucial role. Working intensely on Solid the past year, I realized that designing a fun developer experience will be crucial to its success. Through dialogue with front-end developers, I created a couple of JavaScript libraries for easy interaction with complex Linked Data—without having to know RDF. This post introduces the core React components for Solid along with the LDPlex query language, and lessons learned from their design.

28 December 2018

Why Linked Data?

Decentralized Web apps have multiple back-ends

A crucial first question is whether decentralized Web apps need Linked Data at all. Why not just do like every other Web API, where the server sends custom JSON that the client can easily decipher? The idea behind decentralization in Solid is that apps do not have their own data store. Data is instead stored in a place of the user’s choice. Apps thus need to be more flexible in order to become compatible with different back-ends. Multiple back-ends might be used at the same time by multiple apps. For instance, social media apps show data of multiple profiles, and every profile in a decentralized network can be stored in a different place.

centralized: single app & back-end

- backend X
- specific to X
- app X

- backend Y
- specific to Y
- app Y

decentralized: multiple apps & back-ends

- data pod
- app X
- data pod
- data pod
- app Y
- data pod

A mid-course correction for the web

Solid is a technology for organizing data, applications, and identities on the web. Solid enables richer choices for people, organizations, and app developers by building on existing web standards.

https://inrupt.com/solid
Inrupt Solid Idea

Social App, Bank App, Medical App

Pods store user data in an interoperable format and provide users with permissioning controls.

Apps can access rich stores of data from any Pod, with user permission.

Users control which entities & apps can access their data.

https://inrupt.com/solid
Paco Nathan’s Excellent talk on Deep Context and AI:
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FAIR data: What and Why? Easier said than implemented?

In 2016, a Nature article “The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship” launched the FAIR concept.

FAIR stands for Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Re-usable principles (The FAIR principles as published by FORCE11). The FAIR Data principles act as an international guideline for high quality data stewardship. Throughout the FAIR Principles, we use the phrase ‘metadata’ in cases where the Principle should be applied to both metadata and data.

Rec 3: Implementing FAIR requires a model for #FAIRData Objects which have a PID linked to different types of essential metadata, including provenance and licencing. Use of community standards and sharing of code is fundamental for interoperability and reuse #EOSCSummit

https://twitter.com/simonhodson99/status/1006106264215195648
Problem 2: Persistent Identifiers Are Hard to Implement (as Web Resources)
Linked Data As a Solution?

1. Use URIs as names for things

2. Use HTTP URIs so that people can look up those names.

3. When someone looks up a URI, provide useful information, using the standards (RDF*, SPARQL)

4. Include links to other URIs so that they can discover more things.

https://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html
URI Resources also have semantics themselves as to **HOW** they identify entities.
Identifiers for the 21st century: How to design, provision, and reuse persistent identifiers to maximize utility and impact of life science data

Julie A. McMary, Nick Joly, Niklas Blomberg, Tony Burdett, Tim Conlin, Nathalie Conte, Mélanie Courtot, John Deck, Michel Dumontier, Donal K. Fellows, Alejandra Gonzalez-Beltran, Philipp Gomanns, Jeffrey Grethe, [...] Helen Parkinson

Published: June 29, 2017 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001414 • See the preprint

Abstract

In many disciplines, data are highly decentralized across thousands of online databases (repositories, registries, and knowledgebases). Wringing value from such databases depends on the discipline of data science and on the humble bricks and mortar that make integration possible; identifiers are a core component of this integration infrastructure. Drawing on our experience and on work by other groups, we outline 10 lessons we have learned about the identifier qualities and best practices that facilitate large-scale data integration. Specifically, we propose actions that identifier practitioners (database providers) should take in the design, provision and reuse of identifiers. We also outline the important considerations for those referencing identifiers in various circumstances, including by authors and data generators. While the importance and relevance of each lesson will vary by context, there is a need for increased awareness about how to avoid and manage common identifier problems, especially those related to persistence and web-accessibility/resolvability. We focus strongly on web-based identifiers in the life sciences; however, the principles are broadly relevant to other disciplines.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Types of actors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designers &amp; creators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesson</th>
<th>Designers &amp; creators</th>
<th>Providers &amp; maintainers</th>
<th>Reusers &amp; reference/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 1. Credit any derived content using its original identifier</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 4. Avoid embedding meaning, or relying on it for uniqueness</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 5. Design new identifiers for diverse uses by others</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 6. Implement a version-management policy</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 7. Do not reassign or delete identifiers</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 8. Make URIs clear and findable</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 9. Document the identifiers you issue and use</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 10. Reference and display responsibly</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
<td>![Symbol]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.2001414
Identifiers.org Resolution Service

The Identifiers.org Resolution Service provides consistent access to life science data using Compact Identifiers. Compact Identifiers consist of an assigned unique prefix and a local provider designated accession number (prefix:accession). The resolving location of Compact Identifiers is determined using information that is stored in the Identifiers.org Registry.

Resolve a Compact Identifier

Enter an identifier to resolve

Resolve
What is the SARS-CoV-2 molecular parts list?

AUGUST 5, 2020

https://douroucouli.wordpress.com/2020/08/05/what-is-the-sars-cov-2-molecular-parts-list/
https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q87917581
Permanent Identifiers for the Web

Secure, permanent URLs for your Web application that will stand the test of time.

The purpose of this website is to provide a secure, permanent URL re-direction service for Web applications. This service is run by the W3C Permanent Identifier Community Group.

Web applications that deal with Linked Data often need to specify and use URLs that are very stable. They utilize services such as this one to ensure that applications using their URLs will always be re-directed to a working website. This website operates like a switchboard, connecting requests for information with the true location of the information on the Web. The switchboard can be reconfigured to point to a new location if the old location stops working.

There are a growing group of organizations that have pledged responsibility to ensure the operation of this website. These organizations are: Digital Bazaar, 3 Round Stones, OpenLink Software, Applied Testing and Technology, OpenSpring, and Bosch Consulting. They are responsible for all administrative tasks associated with operating the service. The social contract between these organizations gives each of them full access to all information required to maintain and operate the website. The agreement is setup such that a number of these companies could fail, lose interest, or become unavailable for long periods of time without negatively affecting the operation of the site.

This website operates in HTTPS-only mode to ensure end-to-end security. This means that it may be used for Linked Data applications that require high levels of security such as those found in the financial, medical, and public infrastructure sectors.

All identifiers associated with this website are intended to be around for as long as the Web is around. This means decades, not centuries. If the final destination for popular identifiers used by this service fails in such a way as to be a major inconvenience or danger to the Web, the community will mirror the information for the popular identifier and setup a working redirect to restore service to the rest of the Web.

https://w3id.org/
Problem 3: Machine readable interfaces
The interface for the digital object is not the object
Use of Standardized APIs and Landing Pages

Second Environmental Linked Features Experiment:

Publication Date: YYYY-MM-DD
Approval Date: YYYY-MM-DD
Submission Date: YYYY-MM-DD
Reference number of this document: OGC 20-067
Reference URL for this document: http://www.opengis.net/doc/PER/SELFIE-ID
Category: OGC Public Engineering Report
Editor: David Blodgett
Title: Second Environmental Linked Features Experiment:

https://github.com/opengeospatial/SELFIE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Real World</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Information</td>
<td>Shared ID for a real-world feature.</td>
<td>Identified by a persistent URI to be referenced by multiple organizations’ data.</td>
<td>landing pages contain references to non-information resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>303 redirect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landing-Content</td>
<td>Links related to real-world feature.</td>
<td>Identified by any URL (inclusive of NIR URI) to retrieve document containing linked data documenting an NIR.</td>
<td>Links from landing content may be to &quot;in-band&quot; or &quot;out-of-band&quot; data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-Content</td>
<td>Data about or related to a feature.</td>
<td>Any URL (inclusive of LC URL w/ conneg) to retrieve data representing or related to NIR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OGC-API Features Examples:
- NIRs: https://id.org/example_id -- will 303 to landing page that uses OGC-API Features.
- LCs: https://community.org/collections/mr_type/items/example_local_id2
- DCs: https://organization.org/collections/dr_type/items/example_local_id2

Figure 2. Summary of the SELFIE resource / content model showing that there are Non-information resources which 303 redirect to a resource intended to provide "landing content". The distinction between landing-content and data-content is use-case specific and methods for negotiating between the two is left for future work.
Example: Australian Geographic Name Service

Address GAACT714845933

G-NAF View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address Line</td>
<td>6 Packham Place, Charnwood, ACT 2615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Street Number</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Locality</td>
<td>Packham Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Charnwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State/Territory</td>
<td>ACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcode</td>
<td>2615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Parcel ID</td>
<td>EMLE/CHA/25/16/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address Site PID</td>
<td>710448419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Geocoded Code</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNAF Confidence</td>
<td>Confidence level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Created</td>
<td>2006-04-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Last Modified</td>
<td>2016-02-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry</td>
<td>Frontage Centre Setback - [<a href="http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/epsg/0/4283">http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/epsg/0/4283</a>] POINT(119.038655404 -35.20113263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh Blocks 2011</td>
<td>Parcel Level Match - 80006300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh Blocks 2016</td>
<td>Parcel Level Match - 80006300000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other views

https://gnaflid.net/address/GAACT714845933
### Address API


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Formats</th>
<th>View Namespace</th>
<th>View Desc.</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>text/turtle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/rdf+xml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/id+json</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/n-triples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/xml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dct</td>
<td>text/html *</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/">http://purl.org/dc/terms/</a></td>
<td>Dublin Core Terms from the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative</td>
<td><a href="https://www.d-c.org">DC</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>text/turtle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/rdf+xml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/id+json</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/n-triples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/xml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternates</td>
<td>text/html *</td>
<td><a href="https://promsrs.org/def/alt">https://promsrs.org/def/alt</a></td>
<td>The view that lists all other views</td>
<td><a href="https://www.promsrs.org">Promsrs</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>text/turtle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/json</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/rdf+xml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/id+json</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>text/n3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>application/n-triples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hybrid approaches that store metadata in Knowledge Graphs

http://loci.cat/
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Problem 4: Which Vocabularies?
Problem 4: Vocabularies are also need to be FAIR, Linked and Harmonized
Vocabularies Need to be FAIR

Best Practices for Implementing FAIR Vocabularies and Ontologies on the Web

Daniel Garjio\,\textsuperscript{1}[0000–0003–0454–7125] and
Maria Poveda-Villalón\,\textsuperscript{2}[0000–0003–3897–0367]

\textsuperscript{1} Information Sciences Institute, University of Southern California
dgarjio@isi.edu
\textsuperscript{2} Ontology Engineering Group, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid
mpoveda@ifi.upm.es

Abstract. With the adoption of Semantic Web technologies, an increasing number of vocabularies and ontologies have been developed in different domains, ranging from Biology to Agronomy or Geosciences. However, many of these ontologies are still difficult to find, access and understand by researchers due to a lack of documentation, URI resolving issues, versioning problems, etc. In this chapter we describe guidelines and best practices for creating accessible, understandable and reusable ontologies on the Web, using standard practices and pointing to existing tools and frameworks developed by the Semantic Web community. We illustrate our guidelines with concrete examples, in order to help researchers implement these practices in their future vocabularies.

Keywords: Ontology metadata • Ontology publication • Ontology access • FAIR principles • Linked Data principles.

Are We Better Off With Just One Ontology on the Web?
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Abstract. Ontologies have been used on the Web to enable semantic interoperability between parties that publish information independently of each other. They have also played an important role in the emergence of Linked Data. However, many ontologies on the Web do not see much use beyond their initial deployment and purpose in one dataset and therefore should rather be called what they are – (local) schemas, which per se do not provide any interoperable semantics. Only few ontologies are truly used as a shared conceptualization between different parties, mostly in controlled environments such as the BioPortal. In this paper, we discuss open challenges relating to true re-use of ontologies on the Web and raise the question: “are we better off with just one ontology on the Web?”

Keywords: Ontology, Knowledge Representation

http://www.semantic-web-journal.net/content/are-we-better-just-one-ontology-web-0
One Ontology to Rule them All?

http://www.semantic-web-journal.net/content/are-we-better-just-one-ontology-web-0
Ontology Design Pattern (ODP) Approach - Janowicz

WOP 2015

Views as virtual ontologies. ‘All’ provider- and user-perspectives agree on a common core; more specific results can differ.
Ontology Design Pattern (ODP) Approach

Patterns act as **fallback** level that ensures **minimal interoperability** while preserving **heterogeneity** (i.e., local, repository-specific ontologies can differ).

Ontology Design Pattern (ODP) Semantic Trajectory

A Tutorial on Modular Ontology Modeling with Ontology Design Patterns: The Cooking Recipes Ontology

Pascal Hitzler, Wright State University, USA, pascal@pascal-hitzler.de
Adila Krisnadhi, Universitas Indonesia, krisnadhi@gmail.com
August 2018

We provide a detailed example for modular ontology modeling based on ontology design patterns. It is similar to the Chess Ontology tutorial in [6], which we suggest to read first. We will be less verbose in this tutorial; we provide it because additional examples should be helpful for those interested in adopting the modular ontology modeling methodology – see [6] and the book [2] in which it is contained.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the Web Ontology Language OWL [5, 4].

Before we dive into the actual modeling, let us present the general workflow which we recommend for ontology modeling, and which is the same as in [6]. The steps of this workflow are laid out in Figure 1. We will refer to these steps, and explain them in more detail, as we advance through the tutorial.

Examples of Mid-Level Ontologies for FAIR
Web Standard Middle Ontologies -- Provenance
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Schema.org and Wikidata as the start of ‘core’ ontologies
Science Forum: Wikidata as a knowledge graph for the life sciences

Andra Waagmeester, Gregory Stupp, Sebastian Burgstaller-Muehlbacher, Benjamin M Good, Malachi Griffith, Obi L Griffith, Kristina Hanspers, Henning Hermjakob, Toby S Hudson

Abstract

Wikidata is a community-maintained knowledge base that has been assembled from repositories in the fields of genomics, proteomics, genetic variants, pathways, chemical compounds, and diseases, and that adheres to the FAIR principles of findability, accessibility, interoperability and reusability. Here we describe the breadth and depth of the biomedical knowledge contained within Wikidata, and discuss the open-source tools we have built to add information to Wikidata and to synchronize it with source databases. We also demonstrate several use cases for Wikidata, including the crowdsourced curation of biomedical ontologies, phenotype-based diagnosis of disease, and drug repurposing.
Schema.org as a start for Findability

April 1, 2020

Schema.org Publishing Guidelines for the Geosciences v1.1

Adam Shepherd, Matt Jones, Dave Vieglais, Douglas Fils, Stephen Richard, Ruth Durr, Lewis John McGibbon, Charles Vardeman II

FIXES

- Using @type instead of schema:additionalType (decision, issue #74)

IMPROVEMENTS

- Use schema:PropertyValue for describing Persistent Identifiers (decision, issue #13)
  - Guide: Dataset - Identifier
  - Guide: Data Repository - Identifier
- Use SPDX URLs for Dataset License (decision, issue #47)
  - Guide: Dataset - License

NEW FEATURES

- Describing Dataset Metadata files (decision, issue #4)
  - Guide: Dataset - Metadata Files
- Gitflow Release Workflow (decision, issue #30)
  - CONTRIBUTING.md

https://zenodo.org/record/3736235#.Xy2QypNKhZ4
Harmonization and Linking of Domain Ontologies
# Harmonization Efforts: ENVO and Semantic Web for Earth and Environment Terminology (SWEET)

[Image: Community Ontology Repository]

**GlacialProcess**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>property</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#type">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#type</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#Class">http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#Class</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label</a></td>
<td>&quot;glacial process&quot;en</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf</a></td>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/procPhysical/PhysicalProcess">http://sweetontology.net/procPhysical/PhysicalProcess</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#closeMatch">http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#closeMatch</a></td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ENV0_01001655">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ENV0_01001655</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#definition">http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#definition</a></td>
<td>:bD3C9ACCEx191940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Harmonization Efforts: ENVO and SWEET

An environmental process which involves glaciers or ice sheets.

Term information
- database cross reference
  - null: http://sweetontology.net/phenCryo/GlobalProcess

Comment:
Note that ice sheets and glaciers are conflated and confused in multiple communities and across multiple sources.

Equivalent to:
- environmental system process and has participant some (glacier or ice sheet)

Subclass of:
- environmental system process
- has participant some (glacier or ice sheet)
Alignments to Mid-Level Ontologies

SWEET-SSN/SOSA alignment graph

Metadata details
- rdf:label: SWEET-SSN/SOSA alignment graph
- rdf:comment: A preliminary axiomatization of the alignment of SWEET with the W3C SSN/SOSA ontologies
- owl:versionInfo: 3.5.0

Data
Display contents using: 
- Table tab: pyCDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>Object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Observation">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Observation</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Observation">http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Observation</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Result">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Result</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Result">http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Result</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Variable">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Variable</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Property">http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Property</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas</a>...</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#predicate">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#predicate</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf">http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#subClassOf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas</a>...</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#subject">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#subject</a></td>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Observation">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/Observation</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas">http://sweetontology.net/humanResearch/res_Observation-subClas</a>...</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#object">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#object</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Observation">http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Observation</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GitHub Driven Development

Add central pressure #141
- charlesvardeman opened this issue on Jul 18, 2019 - 1 comment
- charlesvardeman commented on Jul 18, 2019 - edited by lewismc -

For new term requests, please provide the following information:

Preferred term label
hurricane central pressure

Synonyms
...none

Textual definition

Add Zobler soil types #995
- wdduncan opened this issue 2 days ago - 2 comments
- wdduncan commented 2 days ago

Add Zobler soil types. This is needed for NMDC. See microbiomedata/nmnc-metadata#66.

Some info about Zobler:

cc: @cmungall
Lessons from CI-CoE Pilot
How do we move toward FAIR Principles

- Work to connect Large Facilities to scientific communities through organizations like ESIP, RDA, CODATA, Go-FAIR to advance FAIR adoption because FAIR is a community process

- Leverage Community Software Building Blocks such as RDFlib, RDFJS, tools built by Zazuko GmbH like trifid, Inrupt Solid

- Knowledge engineering to create better ontologies through harmonization, adoption of FAIR practices, formalization of vocabularies in ontologies
Thank you!

https://cicoe-pilot.org/
https://wiki.esipfed.org/Schema.org_Cluster
https://wiki.esipfed.org/SemanticHarmonization


cvardema@nd.edu